

Influence of straw incorporation-to-planting interval on soil physical properties and maize performance

Imtiaz A. Dahri¹, Ahmed A. Tagar¹, Jan Adamowski²*, Naimatullah Leghari¹, Ali R. Shah¹, and Shakeel A. Soomro³

¹Department of Farm Power and Machinery, Faculty of Agricultural Engineering, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam 70060, Pakistan

²Department of Bioresource Engineering, McGill University, Sainte-Anne-de-Bellevue, Quebec H9X3V9, Canada ³Department of Farm Structures, Faculty of Agricultural Engineering, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam 70060, Pakistan

Received September 21, 2017; accepted May 16, 2018

Abstract. Long-term soil disturbance due to regular tillage destroys the soil structure, particularly by reducing the soil organic matter content. This, in turn, can lead to declining crop yields. This study assessed the influence of wheat (Triticum æstivum L.) straw incorporation and timing prior to seeding at 6 Mg $ha^{-1}(S^{+})$, relative to no straw (S⁻), on maize (Zea mays L.) growth and yield parameters, as well as on soil characteristics. There were four intervals between straw incorporation and maize seeding, i.e. 60, 45, 30 and 15 days before sowing. Compared to the S⁻ (control), soil dry bulk density increased ($p \le 0.05$) under all S⁺ treatments. A significantly greater proportion of undesirable small aggregates (<0.5 mm), and a lesser proportion of desirable medium sized (0.5-8.0 mm) aggregates, occurred under S⁻ treatment, as compared to S_{60}^+ treatment. A similar, but less pronounced, trend was observed under S_{45}^+ treatment. This trend was also evident for the S_{30}^+ and S_{15}^+ treatments. Generally, incorporation of straw 60 days prior to sowing led to achieving the best soil structure in terms of aggregation. Compared to S⁻, the soil organic matter showed a weakly significant $(0.05 \le p \le 0.06)$ increase under straw amendment. Seedling emergence, plant height, cob length, the number of grain rows per plant, the number of grains per cob, as well as 1000 grain weight and yield were the highest under S_{60}^+ , and the lowest under S⁻. The present study suggests that more research is necessary over longer time periods between straw incorporation and seeding on different crops, and in different soil types, in order to study the effects on soil properties, and on the growth and yield of crops.

K e y w o r d s: maize yield, soil bulk density, soil organic matter, straw incorporation, timing

INTRODUCTION

With rapid population growth and related environmental threats, global food security has become a significant issue (Poulsen et al., 2015). Food security can be achieved, in part, by enhancing agricultural productivity. While conventional tillage practices loosen soils to allow for better crop harvests in the short term, long-term soil disturbance due to regular tillage destroys the soil structure, particularly by reducing the soil organic matter (SOM) content (Baker et al., 2007). This, in turn, leads to lower crop yields. Accordingly, it is important to increase SOM in order to achieve higher crop yields (Koga and Tsuji, 2009). SOM refers to the material produced by living organisms that is returned to the soil and undergoes decomposition processes (Bot and Benites, 2005). It improves the physical, chemical and biological properties of the soil (Lal, 2004), provides nutrients to plants and improves water availability, all of which enhance soil fertility and ultimately improve food productivity. Moreover, SOM improves the structural soil stability by promoting aggregate formation which, together with pore size distribution, ensures sufficient aeration and water infiltration to support plant growth (FAO, 2017).

Soil organic matter can be replenished by providing organic amendments to the soil (Stewart *et al.*, 2007), through no-till farming, the incorporation of straw or crop residues, the amendment of soils with manure or sewage sludge, or the cultivation of permanent or bioenergy crops

^{*}Corresponding author e-mail: jan.adamowski@mcgill.ca

^{© 2018} Institute of Agrophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences

(Lal, 2004). Straw contains a sufficient quantity of organic matter and soil nutrients to be considered an important natural organic fertilizer (Duiker and Lal, 1999). The incorporation of straw into the soil helps to improve soil quality (Mulumba and Lal, 2008), soil aggregation and the stability of aggregates (Sonnleitner et al., 2003), while maintaining the overall ecological balance of the crop production system (Tan et al., 2007). Singh et al. (1992) reported that the incorporation of paddy straw three weeks before the sowing of wheat significantly increased the growth and yield components of the wheat crop in the Sonepat district (India) on a clay loam soil, while no such beneficial effect was observed on a sandy loam soil in Hisar (India). In contrast, a traditional burning of straw after harvest, or its removal and use for livestock feeding, was shown to create soil compaction and produce adverse effects on the growth and vield of subsequent crops (Mele and Crowley, 2008).

The vast majority of studies on the effects of straw incorporation on soil quality have addressed the productivity and yields of various crops (Sonnleitner *et al.*, 2003; Mulumba and Lal, 2008; Wilhelm *et al.*, 2007). However, little information is currently available on the proper time to incorporate straw into the soil in order to maximize its impact on the physical properties of the soil and crop yield. Our hypothesis was that if straw was incorporated into the soil before the crop was sown, it would not only improve the physical properties of the soil but also enhance crop yield. This study was carried out to investigate the influence of straw incorporation and its timing on some physical properties of the soil, and on maize crop yield parameters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out at an experimental site belonging to the Faculty of Agricultural Engineering, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, Hyderabad district, Sindh, Pakistan. At a lat. 25°25'28" N and long. 68°32'6" E. the study site was situated about 26 m above mean sea level. Prior to this experiment the field had been planted with mango (Mangifera indica L.) for five years and had received the recommended doses of fertilizers: 910 g N, $680 \text{ g } P_2O_5$ and $680 \text{ g } K_2O$ per tree. The complete dose of P and K and half the dose of N were applied in February, while the remaining N was applied in April (Raheel Anwar et al., 2011). Based on the USDA soil particle size analysis (Bouyoucos, 1927), the soil was found to belong to the textural class of silt loam, with the sand (0.05-2.0 mm)fraction ranging from 25.0 to 33.0%, the silt (2-50 μ m) fraction from 54.0 to 64.0%, and the clay ($< 2\mu m$) fraction from 11.0 to 15.2%, across the field.

The study assessed the influence of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) straw incorporation and timing prior to the seeding at 6 Mg ha⁻¹ (S⁺), relative to no straw (S⁻), on maize (*Zea mays* L.) growth and yield parameters, as well as on soil characteristics. There were four intervals between

straw incorporation and maize seeding, i.e. 60, 45, 30 and 15 days before sowing, designated as $S_{60}^+, S_{45}^+, S_{30}^+, S_{15}^+$, respectively. These four time intervals, together with S⁻, gave five treatments.

All the five treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications. The entire experimental field $(50 \times 14 \text{ m})$ was divided into three blocks $(4 \times 50 \text{ m})$, with 1.0 m strips separating them. Each block was divided into five randomly assigned treatment plots $(4 \times 10 \text{ m})$.

The nutrient content of the wheat straw was 7.22 kg N Mg⁻¹, 1.07 kg PMg⁻¹ straw, and 9.20 kg K Mg⁻¹ (Tarkalson et al., 2009). The wheat straw was chopped into 0.05 m pieces (Zhang et al., 2014) and mixed into the top 0.25 m of the soil using ridge tillage: mouldboard ploughing to a depth of 0.25 m, followed by two passages of a disk harrow to a depth of 0.10 m (Farid Eltom et al., 2015) and by a ridger. Maize (cv, Akbar) was sown during the first week of August 2016. The inter- and intra-row distances between plants were maintained at 0.75 and 0.30 m, respectively. The seeds were hand-drilled at a rate of 30 kg ha⁻¹ (Farmanullah et al., 2010). The field capacity was determined using the gravimetric method. Upon seeding and throughout the growing season, irrigation water was applied through ridge-furrow irrigation when the soil water content dropped to 60% of the field capacity (Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Livestock, 1997). The soil water content (θ) at 60% depletion (SMD) was determined using:

$$SMD = \theta_f - \theta_o, \tag{1}$$

$$\theta = \frac{W_w - W_d}{W_d} 100, \tag{2}$$

where: θ_f is the water content at field capacity (%), θ_o is the water content at 60% depletion, θ is soil water content (%), W_w is the weight of moist soil (g), W_d is the weight of dry soil (g).

Seedling emergence per square meter (one week after seeding), plant height at harvest, and mature cob length were measured in five different randomly selected sampling locations in each treatment plot, and the obtained values were then averaged. To record grain yield, after harvesting the plants at maturity, cobs were separated, sundried and threshed manually. Grain yield per plot was also recorded. To measure 1000-grain weight, five sets of 1000 grains were randomly sampled from each plot seed production. Then, they were weighed and the average values were calculated.

Individually for each treatment plot, composite soil samples were collected at depths of 0-0.15 m, 0.16-0.30 m and 0.31-0.60 m. Soil samples were dried at 105°C for 24 h. The dry bulk density (ρ_d , Mg m⁻³) was determined using the gravimetric method (Blake and Hartge, 1986) as follows:

$$\rho_d = \frac{DW_{soil}}{V_{soil}},\tag{3}$$

where: DW_{soil} is the dry weight of the soil (Mg), and V_{soil} is the total volume of the soil (m³).

The soil organic carbon content was determined using the Walkley and Black (1934) method. Organic carbon was then converted into the soil organic matter content by multiplying it by Van Bemmelen's number a factor of 1.72.

To determine the extent of post-tillage soil aggregation, the disturbed soil samples were collected, placed in aluminium boxes and left to air-dry at room temperature for 15 days. The air-dried soil samples were then passed through a nest of nine sieve classes of various aperture sizes to obtain the aggregate fractions of the following size classes: 32-25, 25-12.5, 12.5-8, 8-2, 2-1.2, 1.2-0.5, 0.5-0.25 and 0.25-0.15, and 0.15-0.015 mm. The mass of the soil retained within each size class was collected, weighed, and then divided into the following three aggregate size ranges (Tagar *et al.*, 2017):

- 8 mm, large aggregates or clods;
- 0.5-8 mm, medium or desirable aggregates, and
- <0.5 mm, small, undesirable aggregates.</p>

The effect of the straw incorporation timing on the physical properties of the soil, and on crop growth and yield parameters, was estimated by means of the balanced analysis of variance (ANOVA) model (Tables 1). Tukey's multiple comparison test served the purpose of ascertaining the differences among individual treatments. A normality test was performed using the Anderson-Darling normality test method, and the appropriate transformations were applied when necessary. All statistical tests were performed using the MINITAB Statistical Software-16 (Minitab, 2007). The organic matter content (p > 0.25), soil aggregation (p > 0.20), seedling emergence (p > 0.95), cob length and rows per cob (p > 0.94), as well as grain yield (p > 0.50) data were deemed normal (p > 0.05), while dry bulk density, plant height, grains per cob and 1000 grain weight data were non-normal (p > 0.05). To improve the normality of the data, it was transformed using the Johnson transformation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The effects of the different timing of straw incorporation (*i.e.*, 60, 45, 30 and 15 days prior to seeding) on mean ρ_d are illustrated in Fig. 1. Compared to the S⁻ treatment, the value of ρ_d declined significantly (p < 0.05) under all straw-amended treatments. The extent of the decline was the greatest for S_{60}^+ (9.2%) among the amended treatments although the decline was non-significant (p > 0.05), corresponding to 8.26% for S_{45}^+ , 6.5% for S_{30}^+ and 5.6% for S_{15}^+ (Fig. 1).

These results are similar to those obtained by Mi *et al.* (2016), who reported that straw incorporation significantly reduced the dry bulk density of the soil by 8-11%. Dao (1996) concluded that tillage with no straw incorporation treatment initially decreased the dry bulk density, but the tillage with straw incorporation treatment resulted in a lower dry bulk density by the end of the growing season. Additionally, Zhu *et al.* (2010) reported that straw

Table 1. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the effect of different straw incorporation timings, relative to corn crop seeding or the absence of straw amendment on the physical properties of the soil, and on crop growth and yield parameters

	Mean square			
Parameter	Treatment $(d.f. = 4)$	Error (d.f. = 10)	F-value	Probability
Soil physical characteristics				
Dry bulk density (Mg m ⁻³)	0.008	0.002	24.1	0.000
Soil organic matter (% w/w)	0.005	0.001	3.31	0.057
Soil aggregates				
Large >8.0 mm	2.302	6.821	0.34	0.847
Medium 0.5-8.0 mm	87.30	6.526	13.4	0.000
Small <0.5 mm	62.51	6.671	9.37	0.000
Crop growth and yield				
Seedling emergence	148.4	5.575	26.62	0.000
Plant height	469.7	4.267	110.0	0.000
Length of cob	6.579	1.080	6.09	0.009
Grain rows per cob	5.190	1.028	5.05	0.017
Grains per cob	7493	6.460	1161	0.000
1000-grain weight	12307	4.400	2773	0.000
Grain yield	6.209	0.373	16.66	0.000



Fig. 1. Mean values of dry bulk density under different straw incorporation timing regimes $(S_{60}^+, S_{45}^+, S_{50}^+, S_{15}^+; 60, 45, 30 \text{ and } 15 \text{ days before seeding, respectively}) or no straw (S⁻). Error bars denote standard error (n = 3). Values with common letters are not significantly different (p > 0.05), based on Tukey's multiple comparison test.$

incorporation significantly improved the soil water-holding capacity and dry bulk density, while Chaudhary *et al.* (2014) concluded that straw incorporation could improve soil fertility and reduce bulk density in a sandy clay loam soil. In contrast, Lindqvist (2015) showed that there were non-significant differences in dry bulk density between the plots with straw incorporation and straw removed.

The quantity of the soil organic matter content was only marginally $(0.05 \le p \le 0.06)$ affected by the straw incorporation timing (Table 1, Fig. 2). The increase in SOM, relative to the non-amended control sample, was the highest for S_{60}^+ (18.75%) and declined in a non-significant (p > 0.05) trend to 15% for S_{45}^+ , 13.33% for S_{30}^+ and 8.62% for S_{15}^+ .

These results concur with those presented by Memon *et al.* (2018), who demonstrated that straw incorporation significantly increased the SOM level by 3.08-17.07%, compared to treatments without straw, after two rice growing seasons. Poeplau *et al.* (2015), who carried out their research in Sweden, found little or no positive effect of straw incorporation, possibly due to the shorter time of straw incorporation, among the treatments.

In this study, the soil structure in terms of soil aggregates was considered to be good when more than 50% of post-tillage aggregates were of medium size and small aggregates were at a minimum (Abbaspour-Gilandeh and Sedghi, 2015; Tagar *et al.*, 2016). Straw incorporation and its timing had highly significant ($p \le 0.01$) effects on the proportion of medium (0.5-8.0 mm, desirable) and small (<0.5 mm, undesirable) aggregates, but no significant effect (p > 0.05) on large aggregates (Fig. 3). A significantly greater proportion of undesirable small (<0.5 mm) aggregates, and a lower proportion of desirable medium sized (0.5-8.0 mm) aggregates (46.92 and 35.82%, respectivelv), were found under the S⁻ treatment, as compared to the S⁺₆₀



Fig. 2. Mean values of the soil organic matter under different straw incorporation timing regimes $(S_{45}^{+}, S_{45}^{+}, S_{30}^{+}, S_{15}^{+}: 60, 45, 30 \text{ and } 15 \text{ days before seeding, respectively) or no straw (S⁻). Explanations as in Fig. 1.$



Fig. 3. Mass fraction (%) in different soil aggregate size ranges, with no straw amendment (S'), or with straw amendment 15, 30, 45 or 60 days prior to seeding (S_{15}^+ , S_{30}^+ , S_{45}^+ , and S_{60}^+ , respectively. Explanations as in Fig. 1.

treatment (34.88 and 49.91%, respectively). A similar, but less pronounced, trend was observed under the S_{45}^+ treatment (37.11 and 47.59%, respectively). This trend was also evident for the S_{30}^+ and S_{15}^+ treatments.

Coppens *et al.* (2006) also reported that straw incorporation significantly increased the formation of aggregates, even in the short-term. Similarly, Zhang *et al.* (2014) found straw incorporation to produce significantly more and larger soil aggregates as compared to the control sample receiving no straw. They attributed these changes to the fact that straw releases a number of organic C fractions into the soil during its decomposition, which accelerates soil aggregation from micro-aggregates to macro-aggregates (Hbirkou *et al.*, 2011). In contrast, Nuttall *et al.* (1986) reported that the incorporation of straw had little or no effect on SOM, soil water content and soil aggregation.

The maximum seedling emergence (82.85%) occurred under S_{60}^+ , while the minimum and significantly lower (p ≤ 0.05) seedling emergence (64.52%) occurred in the non-amended control (S⁻) (Table 1, Fig. 4). Among the amended treatments, emergence increased significantly with the extending time period between the amendment and seeding (68.48% \leq [73.82, 75.82%] \leq 82.85%, for S_{15}^+ , $[S_{30}^+, S_{45}^+]$ and S_{60}^+ , respectively).

Straw incorporation resulted in significantly ($p \le 0.05$) taller plants, compared with those undergoing no straw amendment, while longer incorporation-to-seeding periods (S_{60}^+ and S_{45}^+) resulted in greater plant height than shorter periods (S_{30}^+ and S_{15}^+) (Table 1, Fig. 5A). The tallest plants (2.28 m) occurred under S_{60}^+ , while the shortest ones (1.96 m) occurred under the non-amended S⁻ control.

Cob length, rows per cob, and grain per cob (Fig. 5B,C,D, respectively) were significantly higher ($p \le 0.05$) for strawamended plots than for non-amended ones (Table 1). The extending incorporation-to-seeding time significantly ($p \le 0.05$) increased grains per cob (Fig. 5D), showing similar but non-significant (p > 0.05) trends for cob length and rows per cob. The maximum cob length (194 mm), grain rows per plant (16) and grains per cob (647.6) were found under S_{60}^+ , whereas their respective minima (156 mm, 13, and 522.2) were obtained with the non-amended S⁻ control.



Fig. 4. Seedling emergence under different straw amendment treatments: no straw amendment (S⁻), or with straw amendment 15, 30, 45 or 60 days prior to seeding (S_{15}^+ , S_{30}^+ , S_{45}^+ , and S_{60}^+ , respectively). Explanations as in Fig. 1.



Fig. 5. The effect of no straw amendment (S⁻), or with straw amendment 15, 30, 45 or 60 days prior to seeding $(S_{15}^+, S_{30}^+, S_{45}^+)$ and S_{60}^+ , respectively) on (A) plant height, (B) length of cob, (C) the number of rows per cob, (D) the number of grains per cob, (E) 1000 grain weight, and (F) the overall grain yield. Explanations as in Fig. 1.

Straw amendment significantly ($p \le 0.05$) increased 1000 grain weight under all straw amendment treatments, compared to treatments with no straw amendment (S⁻), and 1000 grain weight increased significantly at each interval between straw incorporation and maize seeding (Table 1, Fig. 5E). The maximum 1000 grain weight (335.5 g) was obtained under the S_{60}^+ treatment and the minimum weight (161.6 g) under the S⁻ control.

Straw amendment significantly ($p \le 0.05$) heightened grain yield over the non-amended treatment (S⁻), and while yields for the S_{30}^+, S_{45}^+ , and S_{60}^+ treatments did not differ significantly, they were significantly greater than those for the S_{15}^+ treatment (Table 1, Fig. 5F). However, the straw incorporation timing was the same for all treatments, corresponding to 15 days. The maximum grain yield (7.8 Mg ha⁻¹) was achieved under S_{60}^+ , and the minimum (3.9 Mg ha⁻¹) under the S⁻ control.

The present results are supported by Su *et al.* (2014). who reported that the incorporation of straw into the soil after the previous year harvest significantly increased crop yields. Likewise, Karami et al. (2012) showed that straw incorporation had positive effects on soil productivity and crop yields, and Wang et al. (2014) concluded that the incorporation of straw significantly increased the yield of a maize crop. However, some studies have reported little or no effect of straw application on crop yields. Yadvinder-Singh et al. (2004) conducted a field experiment to investigate the effects of residue decomposition on productivity and soil fertility on a sandy loam soil for a period of 7 years in a rice wheat rotation system. They demonstrated that residue incorporation 10 to 40 days before the establishment of the succeeding crop had no effect on wheat yields, while rice yields increased $(0.18-0.39 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1})$ when wheat residue was incorporated with green manure. Similarly, Rydberg (1987) conducted field experiments in light clays, stiff clays, organic soil and sandy soils in the southern and central parts of Sweden. These experiments showed uneven levels of plant establishment and, therefore, lower yields. He recommended that straw should be chopped well, evenly spread on the field and mixed into the topsoil immediately after harvest. Lindqvist (2015) also suggested that if the straw was to be incorporated, it needed to be chopped finely and spread evenly over the field.

CONCLUSIONS

1. This study has shown that amending an agricultural soil with straw, and doing so with different delays (*i.e.*, 60, 45, 30, 15 days) between straw incorporation and crop seeding, could have significant (p < 0.05) effects on the physical properties of the soil, as well as on the growth and yield of a subsequent maize crop. However, the straw amendment had only a marginal ($0.05 \le p \le 0.06$) positive effect on SOM.

2. Growth and yield parameters for the maize crop significantly (p < 0.05) increased under the 60 days before sowing treatment – and with varying levels of significance under the 45, 30, and 15 days before sowing treatments – compared to the non-amended S⁻ control. 3. To reduce dry bulk density and improve soil aggregation, straw should be incorporated 60 days prior to the sowing of the crop.

4. To achieve greater growth and yield parameters for maize, straw should be incorporated 60 days prior to the sowing of the crop.

Conflict of interest: The Authors do not declare conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Abbaspour-Gilandeh Y. and Sedghi R., 2015. Predicting soil fragmentation during tillage operation using a fuzzy logic approach. J. Terramechanics, 57, 61-69.
- Baker J.M., Ochsner T.E., Venterea R.T., and Griffis T.J., 2007. Tillage and soil carbon sequestration – what do we really know? Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 118, 1-5.
- Blake G.R., and Hartge K.H., 1986. Bulk density. In: Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 1. Physical and Mineralogical Methods (Ed. A. Klute). American Society of Agronomy and Soil Science Society of America, Madison, USA.
- Bot A. and Benites J., 2005. The importance of soil organic matter: key to drought-resistant soil and sustained food production. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO soils bulletin; 80). ISBN 9251053669.
- **Bouyoucos G.J., 1927.** The hydrometer as a new method for the mechanical analysis of soils. Soil Sci., 23(5), 343-353.
- Chaudhary S.G., Srivastava S., Singh R., Chaudhari S.K., Sharma D.K., Singh S.K., and Sarkar D., 2014. Tillage and residue management effects on soil aggregation, organic carbon dynamics and yield attribute in rice-wheat cropping system under reclaimed sodic soil. Soil Till. Res., 136, 76-83.
- Coppens F., Merckx R., and Recous S., 2006. Impact of crop residue location on carbon and nitrogen distribution in soil land water-stable aggregates. Eur. J. Soil Sci., 57, 570-582.
- Curtin D. and Fraser P.M., 2003. Soil organic matter as influenced by straw management practices, and the inclusion of grass and clover seed crops in cereal rotations. Australian J. Soil Res., 41, 95-106.
- **Dao T.H., 1996.** Tillage system and crop residue effects on the surface compaction of a Palustoll. Agronomy J., 88, 141-148.
- **Duiker S. and Lal R., 1999.** Crop residue and tillage effects on carbon sequestration in a Luvisol in central Ohio. Soil Till. Res., 52, 73-81.
- FAO, **2017.** Soil Organic Carbon: the hidden potential. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Rome, Italy.
- Farid Eltom A.E., Ding W., Ding Q., Tagar A.A., Talha Z., and Eldawla G., 2015. Field investigation of a trash-board, tillage depth and low speed effect on the displacement and burial of straw. Catena, 133, 385-393.
- Farmanullah Anwar S., Badshah H., Mashwani M.A., and Khan M.A., 2010. The effect of different planting dates of maize on the infestation of maize stem borer ChiloPartellus (Swinhoe) *Pyralidae: Lepidoptera*. Sarhad J. Agric., 26(4), 621-624.
- Hbirkou C., Martius C., Khamzina A., Lamers J.P.A., Welp G., and Amelung W., 2011. Reducing topsoil salinity and raising carbon stocks through afforestation in Khorezm, Uzbekistan. J. Arid Environ., 75, 146-155.

- Karami A., Homaee M., Afzalinia S., Ruhipour H., and Basirat S., 2012. Organic resource management: Impacts on soil aggregate stability and other soil physico-chemical properties. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 148, 22-28.
- Koga N. and Tsuji H., 2009. The effects of reduced tillage, crop residue management and manure application practices on crop yields and soil carbon sequestration on an Andisol in northern Japan. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 55, 546-557.
- Lal R., 2004. Soil carbon sequestration to mitigate climate change. Geoderma, 123, 1-22.
- Lindqvist E., 2015. The effect of a soil tillage system and straw retention on soil aggregation and water capacity. Faculty of Landscape Architecture, Horticulture and Crop Production Science Department of Biosystems and Technology. Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences. https://stud.epsilon.slu.se/9394/1/lindqvist_e_160818.pdf
- Martens D.A., and Frankenberger J., 1992. Modification of Infiltration Rates in an Organic-Amended Irrigated Soil. Agron. J., 84(4), 707-717.
- Mele P.M. and Crowley D.F., 2008. Application of self-organizing maps for assessing soil biological quality. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 126 (3), 139-152.
- Memon M.S., Guo J., Tagar A.A., Nazia P., Ji C., Memon S.A., and Memon N., 2018. The Effects of Tillage and Straw Incorporation on Soil Organic Carbon Status, Rice Crop Productivity and Sustainability in the Rice-Wheat Cropping System of Eastern China. Sustainability, 10, 961, doi:10.3390/su10040961.
- Mi W., Wu L., Brookes P.C., Liu Y., Zhan X., and Yang X., 2016. Changes in soil organic carbon fractions under integrated management systems in a low-productivity paddy soil given different organic amendments and chemical fertilizers. Soil Till. Res., 163, 64-70.
- Ministry of food, Agriculture and Livestock, **1997.** On Farm Water Management field manual, Irrigation Agronomy. Islamabad, Government of Pakistan.
- Minitab, 2007. Minitab 16 Statistical Software. 2010. (Computer Software). Minitab, Inc.: State College, PA, USA.
- Mulumba L. and Lal R., 2008. Mulching effects on selected soil physical properties. Soil Till. Res., 98(1), 106-111.
- Nuttall W.F., Bowren K.E., and Campbell C.A., 1986. Crop residue management practices and N-fertilizer and P-fertilizer effects on crop response and on some physical and chemical properties of a black Chernozem over 25 years in a continuous wheat rotation. Canadian J. Soil Sci., 66, 159-171.
- Poeplau C., Kätterer T., Bolinder M.A., Börjesson G., Berti A., and Lugato E., 2015. Low stabilization of aboveground crop residue carbon in sandy soils of Swedish long-term experiments. Geoderma, 237-238, 246-255.
- Poulsen N.M., McNab P.R., Clayton M.L., and Neff R.A., 2015. A systematic review of urban agriculture and food security impacts in low-income countries. Food Policy, 55, 131-146.
- Rydberg T., 1987. Studies in ploughless tillage in Sweden 1975-1986. Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Soil Sciences, Division of Soil Management; Uppsala, http://pub.epsilon.slu.se/5030/1/rydberg_t_ 100817 2.pdf

- Singh S., Batra R., Mishra M.M., Kapoor K.K., and Goyal S., 1992. Decomposition of paddy straw in soil and the effect of straw incorporation in the field on the yield of wheat. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci., 155(4), 307-311.
- Sonnleitner R., Lorbeer E., and Schinner F., 2003. The effects of straw, vegetable oil and whey on physical and microbiological properties of a chernozem. Appl. Soil Ecol., 22(3), 195-204.
- Stewart C.E., Paustian K., Conant R.T., Plante A.F., and Six J., 2007. Soil carbon saturation: the concept, evidence and evaluation. Biogeochemistry, 86, 19-31.
- Su W., Lu J., Wang W., Li X., Ren T., and Cong R., 2014. The influence of rice straw mulching on seed yield and nitrogen use efficiency of winter oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.) in intensive rice-oilseed rape cropping system. Field Crops Res., 159, 53-61.
- Tagar A.A., Changying J., Qishuo D., Adamowski J., and Malard J., 2016. Soil fragmentation produced by soil cutting, as influenced by various moisture contents near the soil's sticky limit. Bulgarian J. Agric. Sci., 22(4), 655-664.
- Tagar A.A., Gujjar M.A., Adamowski J., Leghari N., and Soomro A., 2017. The assessment of implement efficiency and soil structure under different conventional tillage implements and soil moisture contents in a silty loam soil. Catena, 158, 413-420.
- Tan D.S., Jin J.Y., Huang S.W., Li S.T., and He P., 2007. The effect of long-term application of a K fertilizer and wheat straw to the soil on the crop yield and soil K under different planting systems. Agric. Sci. China, 6, 200-207.
- Tarkalson D.D., Brown B., Kok H., and Bjorneberg D.L., 2009. The Impact of Removing Straw from Wheat and Barley Fields: A Literature Review. Better Crops, 93(3), 17-19.
- Walkley A. and Black I.A., 1934. An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining organic carbon in soils: the effect of variations in digestion conditions and of inorganic soil constituents. Soil Sci., 63, 251-263.
- Wang X., Jia Z., and Liang L., 2014. The effect of straw incorporation on soil moisture, evapotranspiration, and rainfalluse efficiency of maize under dry land farming. J. Soil Water Conserv., 69(5), 449-455.
- Wilhelm W., Johnson J.M.F., Karlen D.L., and Lightle D.T., 2007. Corn stover to sustain soil organic carbon further constrains biomass supply. Agron. J., 99, 1665-1667.
- Yadvinder-Singh, Bijay-Singh, Ladha J.K., Khind C.S., Khera T.S., and Bueno C.S., 2004. The effects of residue decomposition on productivity and soil fertility in rice-wheat rotation. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 68, 854-864.
- Zhang J., Bo G., Zhang Z., Kong F., Wang Y., and Shen G., 2016. The effects of straw incorporation on soil nutrients, enzymes, and aggregate stability in tobacco fields of China. Sustainability, 8, 710, doi:10.3390/su8080710.
- Zhang P., Wei T., Jia Z., Han Q., and Ren X., 2014. Soil aggregate and crop yield changes with different rates of straw incorporation in semiarid areas of northwest China. Geoderma, 230-231, 41-49.
- Zhu H., Wu J., Huang D., Zhu Q., Liu S., Su Y., Wei W., Syers J.K., and Li Y., 2010. Improving fertility and productivity of a highly-weathered upland soil in subtropical China by incorporating rice straw. Plant Soil, 331(1-2), 427-437.